

# Biophysics II

## Dosimetry of ionizing radiations

Liliom, Károly

01-03-2023

[liliom.karoly@med.semmelweis-univ.hu](mailto:liliom.karoly@med.semmelweis-univ.hu)

[karoly.liliom.mta@gmail.com](mailto:karoly.liliom.mta@gmail.com)

*Radiation = spreading of energy*

*energy > ionization energy:*

*ionizing radiation*

# *Ionizing radiations*

Classification according to the primary effect



## *Direct ionization*

Incoming particles are charged and ionize until losing their energy.  
( $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -particles, protons, ions)

## *Indirect ionization*

Primary electrons ejected by the incoming radiation which generate secondary electrons (ionize further).  
( $\gamma$  and X ray photons, neutrons)

# *Tasks for dosimetry*

Estimation of health risk for prevention.

Estimation of biological damages.

Design of therapeutic procedures.

*Definition of  
quantities*



*Design of  
measuring  
techniques*



*Estimation of  
consequences*



## *Dose values should be*


- proportional to the damages and expected risk
- additive
- independent of other factors

# *Dose concepts*

**Physical dose  
concepts:**  
Absorbed dose,  
Exposure




**Biological dose  
concepts:**  
Equivalent dose,  
Effective dose



**Derived dose concepts:**  
Collective dose,  
Dose rate

# *Absorbed dose*

**measures the absorbed energy in a unit mass**



$$D = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta m} [J / kg]$$

*Validity:* for any kind of material and any type of radiation without restriction




Louis Harold Gray  
(1905-1965)

*Unit:*  $[J / kg] \equiv Gy$

*But how to measure it!*

# *Exposure*

**measures the amount of positive charges generated by the radiation in a unit mass.**



The diagram illustrates the process of radiation exposure. On the left, a green arrow labeled  $E_0$  points towards a gray 3D rectangular box. Inside the box, there are several red dots and smaller gray dots, representing ionization events. A second green arrow labeled  $E$  points away from the box to the right, indicating the resulting exposure.


$$X = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta m} [C / kg]$$

*Validity:* in the air, only for  $\gamma$  and X-rays, measured in electron equilibrium\*

$$X = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta m} [C / kg]$$

$\Delta Q$  are the secondary electrons!

***Electron-equilibrium:*** net number of the secondary electrons living and entering volume of the cavity are equal.



To be considered:

- composition of surrounding material (chamber wall) – **air-equivalent wall**
- thickness of the wall
- photon energy:  $E < 0.6 \text{ MeV}$

## *Calculation of the absorbed dose from the exposure*

$$X = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta m} [C / kg]$$

$$D_{\text{air}} = f_0 X$$

$$D = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta m} [J / kg]$$

~ 34 J/C

Average ionization energy in air ~ 34 eV


# Absorbed dose in tissues

$$D = f \cdot X$$

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta m} \approx \mu_m \cdot J$$

$$D_{\text{air}} = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta m} [\text{J/kg}]$$

$$\frac{D_{\text{air}}}{D_{\text{tissue}}} = \frac{\mu_{\text{m.air}}}{\mu_{\text{m.tissue}}}$$



Photon energy (MeV)	$\mu_{\text{m.air.}}/\mu_{\text{m.tissue}}$ (soft tissues)	$\mu_{\text{m.air.}}/\mu_{\text{m.tissue}}$ (bones)
0,1	1,07	3,54
0,2	1,08	2,04
0,4	1,10	1,24

# *Biological dose concepts*

Equivalent dose

Effective dose



The absorbed energy (absorbed dose) is not sufficient to measure the possible biological consequences.

The biological consequences are influenced by :

*the type of radiation*



radiation weighting factor

*the sensitivity and biological  
function of target*



tissue weighting factor

# Equivalent dose ( $H$ )

Rolf Sievert  
1896-1966



„Efficiency” of various types of radiation is different.

$$H_T = w_R * D_T$$


**Radiation weighting factor** – estimation of the relative efficiency of the given ionizing radiation compared to photons

Absorbed dose  
in tissue

Unit of  $H$ :  $[J/kg] = \text{Sievert (Sv)}$

radiation	$w_R$
photon	1
electron	1
neutron	5-20
proton	5
$\alpha$ -particle	20

*If someone is exposed to more than one type of radiation:*



$$H_T = \sum_R w_R D_{T,R}$$

# *Effective dose (E)*

Various sensitivity of tissues has to be considered



$$E = \sum_T w_T H_T$$

**Tissue weighting factor**  
estimation of the relative  
sensitivity of tissue

**Equivalent dose**  
**in the given tissue**

Unit of *E*: ***Sievert (Sv)***

$$E = \sum_T w_T H_T$$

$$\sum_T w_T = 1$$



tissue	$W_T$	tissue	$W_T$
gonads	0,12	breast	0,05
bone marrow	0,12	liver	0,05
colon	0,12	oesophagus	0,05
lung	0,12	thyroid gland	0,05
stomach	0,12	skin	0,01
bladder	0,05	bone surface	0,01

$$E = \sum_T w_T H_T$$

$$\sum_T w_T = 1$$



Organ or tissue	$W_T$ ICRP 30 (1979) <sup>a</sup>	$W_T$ ICRP 60 (1991)	$W_T$ ICRP 103 (2007)
Gonads	0.25	0.20	0.08
Red bone marrow	0.12	0.12	0.12
Large intestine		0.12	0.12
Lung	0.12	0.12	0.12
Stomach		0.12	0.12
Bladder		0.05	0.04
Breast	0.15	0.05	0.12
Liver		0.05	0.04
Oesophagus		0.05	0.04
Thyroid	0.03	0.05	0.04
Skin		0.01	0.01
Bone surface	0.03	0.01	0.01
Rest <sup>b</sup>	0.30	0.05	0.12
Brain			0.01
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00

<sup>a</sup> ICRP 30  $W_T$  are used to calculate EDE, whereas ICRP 60  $W_T$  and ICRP 103  $W_T$  give  $E$  values.

<sup>b</sup> 'Rest' includes adrenals, small intestine, kidney, muscle, brain (except ICRP 103  $W_T$ ), pancreas, spleen, thymus and uterus.

Tissue weighting factor values are re-estimated by ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection) as more experimental results are accumulating.

## *Dose rate*

Received dose over time.


*Unit:* varies with the type of radiation and the time period (e.g. Gy/month, mSv/year)

## *Collective dose*

Sum of the doses ( $E_i$ ) received by a given number of people ( $N_i$ ) in the course of a given time interval.

$$S = \sum_i N_i E_i$$

## *Types of damages*



***Stochastic damages***


***Deterministic damages***



# *Chemical reactions – Direct effect*

Direct ionization damage of the macromolecules

**DNA damage is the most important!**



single  
strand breaks

double



chromosome aberrations


## *Chemical reactions – Indirect effect*

Reactive ions (e.g.  $\text{OH}^-$ ) and/or radicals (e.g.  $\cdot\text{OH}$ )  
are generated mainly from water molecules.  
(65-70% of the human body is water)



Reactive species induce damages in  
macromolecules and membrane structures.

# *Biological consequences*




## *Timescale of events*

---

Physical	$10^{-20} - 10^{-8}$ s	Ionization, excitation
Chemical	$10^{-18} - 10^{-9}$ s	Direct/indirect chemical reactions
	$10^{-3} - \text{few hours}$	Repair of damages
Early biological	hours – weeks	Cell death, death of living system
Delayed biological	years	Carcinogenesis, genetic transformation

# *Stochastic damages*




The probability of stochastic damage depends on the dose.

Severity (e.g. cancer) is independent of the dose.

Delayed biological effects.  
(tumors, hereditary diseases)

**NO threshold!**

# Stochastic damages



H (equivalent dose) and E (effective dose) provide a basis for *estimating the probability of stochastic effects* for doses below the threshold of deterministic effects.

# *Stochastic damages*


Irradiated cell is modified rather than killed.

Severity is not affected by the dose.

With increasing dose the probability of a damage increases.

The probability of 1  $\gamma$  photon to cause cancer is  $3 \times 10^{-16}$   
(1: 3,000 billion, but this is a Russian roulette!)

# *Deterministic damages*



Gy

A threshold dose exists.

Above threshold severity depends on the dose.

Appear soon after exposition.  
(erythema, epilation, cataract)

Must not be induced during diagnostic procedures.



Dose (Gy) (whole body)	Biological effect
< 0,15-0,2	No observable effect
0,5	Slight blood changes – limit of detection by hematological methods.
0,8	Critical dose – threshold of acute radiation syndrome
2,0	Minimal lethal dose (LD1/60)*
4,0	Half lethal dose (LD50/60)
7,0	Minimal absolute lethal dose (LD99/60)

*\*1% lethality at 60 days after exposition*

*Chest X-ray: cc 160  $\mu$ Gy in the skin*

# *Radiotherapy*

Which radiation is the best?

What is the optimal dose of radiation?

What is the best technique for generating radiation?

Irradiation selectivity – protection of healthy structures?




# *Radiotherapy*

**Radiation therapy** is a clinical modality dealing with the use of ionizing radiations in the treatment of patients with malignant neoplasias (and occasionally benign diseases).

The **aim of radiation therapy** is to deliver a **curative** dose of irradiation to a defined tumor volume with as minimal damage as possible to surrounding healthy tissue.

# *Approaches*

- **Palliative radiotherapy** to reduce pain and address acute symptoms – e.g. bone metastasis, spinal cord compression
- **Radical radiotherapy** as primary modality for cure – e.g. head and neck tumors
- **Adjuvant treatment** in conjunction with surgery – e.g. breast cancer



# ***Ionizing radiations in radiotherapy***

## **Electromagnetic**

- X-ray – Bremsstrahlung and characteristic
- gamma
  - $^{60}\text{Co}$  (1,25MeV) – tele-therapy
  - $^{192}\text{Ir}$ ,  $^{125}\text{I}$  (35 keV),  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{60}\text{Co}$  - brachytherapy

**Electron/ $\beta^-$**  – energy range 6 – 21 MeV

**Alpha** -  $^{225}\text{Ac}$  6 MeV,  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  4,78 MeV

**Proton** – increasing use

**Heavy ions** – limited use


**Neutron** – limited use

# *„Efficacy” of various modalities are different*

*Linear ion density:*

the amount of ion pairs in a line generated in a unit distance ( $n/l$ )

*LET (Linear Energy Transfer):* the energy transferred to the material surrounding the particle track, by means of secondary electrons. ( $nE_{ionpair}/l$ )



Low LET  
 $\gamma$ , rtg



High LET  
 $\alpha$ , proton

## *Typical LET values*

LET	Radiation	Energy(MeV):	LET(keV/μm):
high	α – particles	5.0	90
	fast neutrons	6.2	21
	protons	2.0	17
low	X-rays	0.2	2.5
	<sup>60</sup> Co γ–radiation	1.25	0.3
	β – particles	2.0	0.3
	accelerated electrons	10.0	

# $\alpha$ particles

Internally deposited radioactivity  
=  
Brachytherapy






$\beta^-$

Internally seeded radioactivity

Particle energy is not optimal  
continuous energy spectrum  
typical energy: few MeV



$e^-$

accelerated electrons - 10-20 MeV


production: linear accelerator

Efficient distance!  $\approx 1\text{cm}/3\text{MeV}$

In the practice 6-21 MeV  $\Rightarrow$  2-7 cm  
treatment of superficial tumors

## Electron PDD (percentage depth dose ) curves with different energies


Reduced skin-sparing effect




**Conclusion: only superficial tumors can be treated with electron beams**



Site of absorption  $\neq$  sites of ionization = site of radiation damages



Penetration distance  
is energy dependent






**$\gamma$ -knife:** focused dose of radiation –  
about 200 portals in a specifically designed helmet


$^{60}\text{Co}$   $E_{\gamma} = 1.25 \text{ MeV}$ , about TBq activity

**The radiation isocenter** is the point in space where radiation beams intersect




Treat tumors and lesions in the brain

# X-ray




The X-rays are generated by a linear accelerator with a few MeV photon energy.

# Photon PDD (percentage depth dose ) curves with different energies




proton

Would be ideal,  
but expensive!



# *Comparison of photon and proton depth doses*







Neutron radiation: collision of high energy protons (66 MeV) into berillium target (  $p(66) + \text{Be}$  )

Neutrons induce nuclear reactions.

**neutrons**



High LET

*Background dose-load:* an estimated average of annual dose from natural background and man-made sources is 3.6 mSv.

environmental



occupation

military




medical use




nuclear industry



# *Distribution of annual dose among sources*



# *Sources of natural background*



*cosmic radiation*  
 *$\sim 0,4 \text{ mSv/year}$*





*radon: cc.  $1,8 \text{ mSv/year}$*



*potassium: cc  $0,1 \text{ mSv/year}$*

# *Cosmic ray contributions to dose rate as the function of altitude*





## *Distribution of naturally occurring background levels of radiation in Europe*

The highest known level of background radiation on Earth is in Kerala and Madras States in India where a population of over 100,000 people receive an annual dose rate which averages 13 millisieverts.

## *Risks – loss of life expectancy 😊*

### **Days of average life expectancy lost**

Being unmarried male	3500
Smoking (pack/day)	2250
Being unmarried female	1600
Being a coal miner	1100
25% overweight	777
Alcohol abuse	365
Being a construction worker	227
Driving motorcycle	207
<i>1 mSv/year effective dose for 70 years</i>	10
Coffee	6

# *Radiation protection*

## **Aims of radiation protection:**

Prevention from deterministic effects (except in radiotherapy which they are intentionally produced)

Keeping the occupational risk of the users of the sources at the level of occupational risk of other professionals.

Keeping the public risk from ionising radiation sources at the level of public risk of other civilization related harms.



*Radiation protection relies on the following principles:*

Optimization: All exposures should be kept As Low As Reasonable Achievable (ALARA)


Justification: no practice shall be adapted unless it produces a positive net benefit

Limitation: the effective dose to individuals shall not exceed the limits recommended by the ICRP

# Optimization of radiation protection

## ALARA-principle

**As Low As Reasonably Achievable**



X : cost of radiation protection

Y : cost of treatment

X+Y: total cost

**Optimum is the minimum**


## *Dose limits in radiation protection*

	Occupational (mSv/year)	Population (mSv/year)
Effective dose	20*	1
Dose equivalent (eye lens)	150	15
Dose equivalent (limb/skin)	500	50



**\* Over the average of 5 years but maximum 50 mSv/year**

## Relative risk of various professions




# Detection of radiation – dose measurement

- What?  $\alpha^{++}$   $p^+$  (n)  $\beta$   $\gamma$   $\nu$


- How much energy?

- How much intensity?

- How good accuracy?





# Detection of particles - history



- **Cloud chamber**  
supersaturated vapor of water or alcohol

- **Spark chamber**  
high voltage wires

- **Bubble chamber**
  - superheated transparent liquid ( $H_2$ , Ar, Xe)
  - entire chamber is subject to a constant magnetic field



# *Dose and dose rate measuring devices*

\*electronic detectors – absorbed energy produces free charges

**gas-ionization detectors** – prompt and/or delayed evaluation

**scintillation detectors**

**semi-conductor detectors** –

\* Chemical detectors – based on radiochemical alterations

**film** – follow-up evaluation

\* Solid states – based on physical parameters of solid materials


**thermoluminescent detector – TLD** (LiF, CaF<sub>2</sub>, BeO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)

# Electronic Dosimeters

## Ionization chambers

**Dose measurement:** the voltage  $U$  that is produced by collected charge  $Q$  on the capacitor  $C$  is proportional to the total amount of the separated charges.

$$U = \frac{Q}{C} \sim X$$







# *Ionization chambers*

***Dose rate measurement:*** the potential drop is measured on a large resistance  $R$ , that is proportional to the charge  $Q$  that flows through at unit time.

$$U = \frac{QR}{t} \sim \frac{X}{t}$$



# *Ionization chambers*



# *Ionization chambers – Geiger-Müller counter*


Inert gas filling  
High accelerating  
voltage



Avalanche effect  
between electrodes





**Current pulse**




*Number of current pulses ~ number of ionising particles*

## Scintillation detectors




- Liquid scintillator
  - Solution of fluorescent compounds
  - Primary excitation of solvent and follow-up excitation of diluted compound
  - Light emission
- Plastic scintillator
  - Solid materials
- Inorganic crystals
  - Primary excitation of crystal, follow-up excitation of luminescent atoms

# *Solid phase detectors - Thermoluminescent dosimeter*




The cumulative dose is measured off-line  
by heating and scintillation counting

# Semiconductor detectors



Germanium detector



Scintillation  
detector

# *Chemical processes – Film badges*

It measures darkening of the developed photographic film that was exposed to ionizing radiation.



Darkening of the developed photographic film is proportional to the dose rate of the ionizing radiation and to the irradiation time.

*Damjanovich, Fidy, Szöllősi „Medical Biophysics”:*

II. 4.

4.1

4.2

4.3

4.4

4.5

IX.3.

*Kellermayer „Medical Biophysics Practices”: Dosimetry  
and Nuclear medicine*